B-[(E)-3-(Diphenylamino)allyl]diisopinocampheylborane: an Excellent Reagent for the Stereoselective Synthesis of anti-β-Diphenylamino Alcohols

Anthony G. M. Barrett* a,b and Mark A. Seefeld a b

- ^a Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, USA
- ^b Department of Chemistry, Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine, London SW7 2AY, UK

anti- β -Amino alcohols have been produced with high relative and absolute stereochemical control in a simple one-pot process *via* the reaction of aldehydes with *B*-[(*E*)-3-(diphenylamino)allyl]diisopinocampheylborane and alkaline hydrogen peroxide work-up.

The β-amino alcohol residue is a common structural unit in legions of biologically active natural products.¹ In consequence, a number of procedures have been developed for the stereoselective synthesis of these substances.²-4 Generally, these methods depend upon the oxyamination of alkenes or ring opening of epoxides with nitrogen centered nucleophiles.² Methods which result in the generation of the amino alcohol unit with the simultaneous construction of the interconnecting carbon–carbon bond are less widely used in asymmetric synthesis.³.4 For example, the Henry reaction of nitroalkenes with aldehydes is a most versatile and general method. However, both relative and absolute stereochemical control in this reaction still need very considerable improve-

ment and optimization.⁴ Recently, Brown and coworkers have introduced several allyl- and crotyl-boranes that are spectacularly useful for the conversion of aldehydes into homoallylic alcohols.⁵ These methods are particularly useful for the preparation of 4-hydroxy- and 4-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-alkenes. In all cases, the products were formed with both excellent relative and absolute stereochemical control. In an adaptation

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, BuⁿLi, TMEDA, $-10\,^{\circ}$ C; ii, 5, THF, $-78\,^{\circ}$ C; BF₃·OEt₂, $-78\,^{\circ}$ C; iii 6, THF, $-78\,^{\circ}$ C; BF₃·OEt₂, $-78\,^{\circ}$ C

of this chemistry, we have introduced B-{(E)-3-[(diisopropylamino)dimethylsilyl]allyl}diisopinocampheylborane as a reagent for the synthesis of *anti*-vicinal diols.⁶ Herein we report a convergent enantioselective method for the preparation of anti- β -diphenylamino alcohols using related organoboron chemistry.†

Following the Eisch precedent,7 allyldiphenylamine 1 was metallated using n-butyllithium in tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) at -10 °C. The resultant allyllithium species 2 was transmetallated by reaction with both (-)- and (+)-Bmethoxydiisopinocampheylborane 5 and 6 and boron trifluoride-diethyl ether to provide the (E)-allylboranes 3 and 4 (Scheme 1). Without isolation and characterization, these reagents were reacted with aldehydes to give, on alkaline hydrogen peroxide work up, various 3-diphenylamino-4hydroxy-1-alkenes (Scheme 2 and Table 1) ‡ For example, reaction of acetaldehyde with allylboranes 3 and 4, respectively, gave the amino alcohols 7 (R = Me) and 9 (R = Me). Several aspects of this reaction need further comment. Firstly, our original intention was to produce syn-amino alcohols since we expected that the utilization of 1 should be Z-specific on account of chelation control of lithiation. Thus, we expected that the allyl boranes 3 and 4 should have the Z-geometry. However, it is clear from the results that the reagents 3 and 4 are in fact E. Thus, the lithiation is either E-specific or geometric isomerization takes place on lithium-boron exchange perhaps owing to the enaminic character of the reagents. In all cases, the yields of amino alcohol products were only modest (28-48%). However, the reactions all proceeded with excellent anti-relative stereochemical control

Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, RCHO, THF, -78°C; 30% H₂O₂, 2.5 mol dm⁻³ NaOH, 0°C; ii, (*R*)-(+) Mosher acid, DCC, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 25°C; iii (*R*)-*O*-methyl mandelic acid, DCC, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 25°C; DCC = 1,3-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, DMAP = 4-dimethylaminopyridine

Table 1

Entry	Aldehyde	Product (%)	D.e.a	% E.e. ^b
1	∕ сно	7a (45)	≥95:5	≥95
2	// сно	9a (47)	≥95:5	≥95
3	C₄H ₉ CHO	7b (43)	≥95:5	≥95
4	C₄H ₉ CHO	9b (40)	≥95:5	≥95
5	MeCHO	7c (40)	≥95:5	≥95
6	MeCHO	9c (41)	≥95:5	≥95
7	PhCHO	7d (48)	≥95:5	≥95
8	PhCHO	9d (47)	≥95:5	≥95
9	СНО	7e (23), 9e (6)	3.8:1	
10	СНО	9e (28)	≥95:5	_

^a D.e. = diastereoisomeric excess. ^b E.e. = enantiomeric excess.

[†] This work was presented in part, at the Boron USA III Conference, Pullman, Washington, July 8–11, 1992 by M.A.S.

 $[\]ddagger$ All β -diphenylamino alcohols were fully characterized by spectral data and microanalyses or high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS).

[§] The preparation of aminol 7a is representative: to a solution of allyldiphenylamine (1.05 g, 5.0 mmol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (THF) (10 ml) at -10 °C was added TMEDA (0.75 ml, 5.0 mmol) and BuⁿLi in hexane (2.5 mol dm⁻³; 2 ml). The solution was kept at -10 °C for 3 h and subsequently cooled to -78 °C. The burgundy-red solution was treated with (-)-B-methoxydiisopinocampheylborane (1.58 g, 5 mmol) in dry THF (5 ml) and maintained at -78 °C for 2 h. To this solution was added BF₃·OEt₂ (0.82 ml, 6.65 mmol) and propenal (0.28 g, 5.0 mmol) in dry THF (1 ml). The reaction mixture was kept at -78 °C for 3 h and was allowed to warm up to 0 °C after which aqueous NaOH (2.5 mol dm⁻³, 2 ml) and 30% H₂O₂ (2 ml) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temp. for 12 h. The mixture was then diluted with diethyl ether (40 ml) and separated from the aqueous layer. The organic solution was dried (MgSO₄), concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 4:1 hexanes-EtOAc) to yield aminol 7a (0.59 g 45%).

(diastereoselectivity > 95:5) and this was readily apparent from the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. Additionally, the absolute stereochemistry of reaction was outstanding. In each case, the β-diphenylamino alcohols 7 and 9 were converted into the corresponding (R)-(+)-Mosher esters⁸ 8a and 10a or the (R)-O-methyl mandelates 8b-e and 10b-e. Comparisons of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of each diastereoisomeric pair of esters clearly showed that enantiomeric excesses were at least 95%. Entries 9 and 10 in Table 1 illustrate reactions with matched and mismatched stereochemical biases. It is apparent from these two examples that anti-relative stereochemical control is consistently good. However, absolute stereochemical control is lower in the mismatched example (entry 9). Finally, we have rigorously established the stereochemistry of one anti-β-diphenylamino alcohol 7a by carrying out an X-ray crystallographic study of the derived (R)-Mosher ester 8a.¶ This study unequivocally established both the relative and absolute stereochemistry of alcohol 7a and, by implication, all the other amino alcohols in Table 1.

This study further demonstrates the utility of pinenederived compounds in asymmetric synthesis. The direct conversion of aldehydes into β -amino alcohols via an experimentally simple one-pot process should be of considerable use in synthesis. The method should be applicable to other allylamines and, with suitable protection or masking, to the synthesis of biologically active β -amino alcohols.

We thank the National Institutes for Health for support of this programme (AI-22252), G. D. Searle & Company for unrestricted support and microanalyses, and the Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University for all FAB, X-ray crystal and HRMS data. M. A. S. is grateful for a fellowship from the US Department of Education under the Graduate

Assistance in Areas of National Need Programme (P200A10210).

Received, 30th November 1992; Com. 2/06395F

References

- 1 Dictionary of Antibiotics and Related Substances, ed. B. W. Bycroft, Chapman and Hall, London, 1988.
- 2 J. E. G. Kemp, Addition Reactions with Formation of Carbon-Nitrogen Bonds, in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, ed. B. M. Trost, I. Fleming and S. V. Ley, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991, vol. 6, pp. 488-493; O. Mitsunobu, Synthesis of Amines and Ammonium Salts, in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, ed. B. M. Trost, I. Fleming and E. Winterfeldt, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991, vol. 7, pp. 88-93.
- 3 H. Ito, T. Taguchi and Y. Hanazawa, Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 4469.
- 4 D. Seebach, A. K. Beck, T. Mukhopadhyay and E. Thomas, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1982, 65, 1101; M. Eyer and D. Seebach, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 3601; A. G. M. Barrett, C. Robyr and C. D. Spilling, J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 1233; H. Sasai, T. Suzuki, S. Arai, T. Arai and M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem., Soc., 1992, 114, 4418.
- U. S. Racherla and H. C. Brown, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 401;
 P. K. Jadhav, K. S. Bhat, P. T. Perumal and H. C. Brown, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 432;
 H. C. Brown and K. S. Bhat, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 5919;
 H. C. Brown, R. S. Randad, K. S. Bhat, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 5919;
 H. C. Brown, R. S. Randad, K. S. Bhat, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 2389;
 M. Srebmik and P. V. Ramachandran, Aldrichimica Acta, 1987, 20, 9;
 W. R. Roush, Allyl Organometallics, in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, ed. B. M. Trost, I. Fleming and C. H. Heathcock, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991, vol. 2, pp. 1–53.
- 6 A. G. M. Barrett and J. W. Malecha, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 5243.
- 7 J. J. Eisch and J. H. Shah, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 2955.
- 8 J. A. Dale, D. L. Dull and H. S. Mosher, J. Org. Chem., 1969, 34, 2543.
- 9 B. M. Trost, J. L. Belletire, S. Godleski, P. G. McDougal, J. M. Balkovec, J. J. Baldwin, M. E. Christy, G. S. Ponticello, S. L. Varga and J. P. Springer, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 2370.

 $[\]P$ Details of the crystal structure of the Mosher ester 8a will be published elsewhere.